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Case #1

Accuracy: 10

Completeness: 10

Consistency: 8

Notes (optional): The assessment should generate specific differential diagnosis (ie. Lyme disease, syphillis, neurosyphillis, etc). Based on subjective and objective findings, clinicians will be able to develop a working diagnosis to at least guide further diagnostics and even initiate treatment directed to the most likely definitive diagnosis. Otherwise, the predictive model captured accurately the subjective and objective data.

Case #2

Accuracy: 10

Completeness: 10

Consistency: 3

Notes (optional): The prediction model did not discriminate between objective data (the model inserted the procedural details into the Assessment, again assessment is the section of the SOAP note in which the provider generates a clinical impression (ie how sick or severe is the patient and the associated condition as well as potential causes of the patient’s current condition). This was not demonstrated in this case and the predictive model did not satisfy the true generation of a clinical assessent.

Case #3

Accuracy: 10

Completeness: 10

Consistency: 10

Notes (optional): PERFECT

Case #4

Accuracy: 10

Completeness: 10

Consistency: 8

Notes (optional): GBM is called Glioblastoma Multiforme, which is a lethal primary brain tumor with a 3 month prognosis (inaccurate)

Case #5

Accuracy: 10

Completeness: 10

Consistency: 10

Notes (optional): PERFECT